From: Joshua, Tanya
To: Bowman, Randal

Subject: Re: Agenda for tomorrow Monument Review Team meeting

**Date:** Tuesday, June 06, 2017 9:06:57 AM

ok, good I see that now!

## Tanya Harris Joshua

Deputy Policy Director

Office of Insular Affairs
U.S. Department of the Interior
1849 C Street, NW
Washington, DC 20240
www.doi.gov/oia
202 208-6008

On Tue, Jun 6, 2017 at 10:05 AM, Bowman, Randal < randal\_bowman@ios.doi.gov > wrote:

there is no meeting today

On Tue, Jun 6, 2017 at 10:04 AM, Joshua, Tanya <<u>tanya\_joshua@ios.doi.gov</u>> wrote: Randy

I am unable to attend this meeting today - I'll catch you at the next one.

Tanya

## Tanya Harris Joshua

Deputy Policy Director

Office of Insular Affairs U.S. Department of the Interior 1849 C Street, NW Washington, DC 20240 www.doi.gov/oia 202 208-6008

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 6:17 PM, Bowman, Randal < <a href="mailto:randal\_bowman@ios.doi.gov">randal\_bowman@ios.doi.gov</a>> wrote:

## Thank you

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 5:40 PM, Maucieri, Mathew < mmaucieri@usbr.gov > wrote: hello randy,

i apologize for the delayed response - we were supposed to respond friday 6/2 but i only just received the information below and attached from our pacific northwest region this afternoon.

for the monuments overlying some bureau of reclamation lands, we only have one area where there is responsive information to your may 11 data call, and that is cascade-siskiyou national monument.

for that monument, our regional office relays that Reclamation is producing hydropower and we do have critical infrastructure within the Cascade Siskiyou monument boundary at Keene Reservoir.

the attached map shows the expanded boundary in relation to Reclamation's managed facilities. While Green Springs was not in the original monument boundary, supporting facilities were. With the expansion it would appear that the powerplant is now within the boundary of the monument.

while we do not have information on the expanded monument boundary (designated in january 2017) impacting energy development as envisioned in your may 11 data call document, we felt we should still pass along the map.

this is in response to question 2(b) from your "initial data request" document circulated on may 11.

please let me know if you have any questions, and apologies for the late submittal. thx

- mat

On Wed, May 10, 2017 at 2:59 PM, Bowman, Randal < randal bowman@ios.doi.gov> wrote:

## **Monument Review Team Initial Meeting Agenda**

May 11, 2017, 10 am, Room 6122

**Mission Summary** 

Introductions

Initial data call (attached to transmittal email) discussion –

initial impressions on how much of this is likely to be available and how long to assemble

